

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,819	GORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

 (2) John Van Amsterdam.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 1-3 and 14-18

Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Mr. Van Amsterdam to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if nonelected claims 1-3 and 14-18 were canceled. It was agreed that claims 1-3 and 14-18 would be canceled by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)